

Corporate Governance Statement

16 October 2020

Otto Energy Limited (the "Company") is committed to conducting business in accordance with a high standard of corporate governance. The Board considers that good corporate governance is important to the creation of shareholder value and assists in delivering against stakeholder expectations.

In establishing its corporate governance framework, the Board has considered and reports against the Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations (4th Edition) as published by the ASX Corporate Governance Council ("Recommendations").

This Corporate Governance Statement discloses the extent to which the Company has, during the financial year ending 30 June 2020, followed the Recommendations. The Recommendations are not mandatory. Any Recommendations that have not been followed for any part of the reporting period have been identified and reasons provided for not following them along with what (if any) alternative governance practices were adopted in lieu of the recommendation during that period.

The Company's website contains a dedicated corporate governance section which includes copies of the key corporate governance policies adopted by the Company. Click <u>here</u> to go to the corporate governance section of the Company's website.

The information contained in this Corporate Governance Statement has been approved by the Otto Energy Limited Board of Directors and is current as at 16 October 2020.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight		
Recommendation 1.1 A listed entity should have and disclose a board charter setting out: (a) the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and (b) those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.	YES YES	The Company has adopted a Board Charter that sets out the specific roles and responsibilities of the Board, the Chair, the Company Secretary and management and includes a description of those matters expressly reserved to the Board and those delegated to management. The Board Charter also sets out the requirements as to the Board's composition, the establishment, operation and management of Board Committees, Directors' access to Company records and information, details of the Board's relationship with management, details of the Board's performance review and details of the Board's disclosure policy. A copy of the Company's Board Charter is available on the Company's website at http://www.ottoenergy.com/site/About-Us/corporate-governance
Recommendation 1.2		
 A listed entity should: (a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a director or senior executive or putting someone forward for election as a Director; and (b) provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a Director. 	YES	 (a) The Company has guidelines for the appointment and selection of the Board in its Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter to ensure appropriate checks are undertaken before appointing a person or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election, as a Director. (b) Through its Shareholders Communications Policy, the Company ensures all material information relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a Director is provided to security holders in the Notice of Meeting containing the resolution to elect or re-elect a Director.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 1.3 A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.	YES	The Board requires that each Director and senior executive is a party to a written agreement with the Company which sets out the terms of that Director's or senior executive's appointment. The Company had written agreements with each of its Directors and senior executives for the past financial year.
Recommendation 1.4 The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.	YES	The Board Charter outlines the roles and responsibilities of the Company Secretary. In accordance with this, the Company Secretary is accountable directly to the Board, through the Chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the Board.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 1.5 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a diversity policy; (b) through its board or a committee of the board set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board, senior executives and workforce generally; and (c) disclose in relation to each reporting period: (1) the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity; (2) the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and (3) either: (A) the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or (B) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act. If the entity was in the S&P/ASX 300 Index at the commencement of the reporting period, the measurable objective for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board should be to have not less than 30% of its directors of each gender within a specified period.	PARTIALLY	 (a) The Company has adopted a Diversity Policy which provides a framework for the Company to establish and achieve measurable diversity objectives, including in respect of gender diversity. The Diversity Policy allows the Board to set measurable gender diversity objectives and to assess annually both the objectives and the Company's progress in achieving them. (b) The Diversity Policy is available on the Company's website. (c) The Diversity Policy includes a requirement for the Board to establish, and review on an annual basis, measurable objectives for achieving improvement in the diversity mix of the workforce and particularly gender diversity. Given the Company's current size (8 staff plus 3 non-executive directors), the Board has opted not to set specific measurable objectives at this stage. Whilst the Board of the Company endorses the concept of gender diversity, until the Company's size has grown to a point where fully implementing specific measurable objectives will become more meaningful, the Company will, in accordance with its Diversity Policy, continue to recruit on the basis of competence and performance regardless of age, nationality, race, gender, religious beliefs, sexuality, physical ability or cultural background. It is essential that we have the right person for the right job and that we deliver at a high level of performance. 1) The respective proportions of men and women on the Board, in senior executive positions and across the whole organisation (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes) for the past financial year is disclosed in the Company's Annual Report. 2) The Company is not a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 1.6 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.	YES	 (a) The Company's Board is responsible for evaluating the performance of the Board, its committees and individual Directors on an annual basis. It may do so with the aid of an independent advisor. The process for this is set out in the Company's Performance Evaluation Practices Policy which is available on the Company's website. (b) The Company did perform a performance evaluation in respect of the Board, its committees and individual Directors for the past financial year in accordance with the above process.
Recommendation 1.7 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a process for evaluating the performance of its senior executives at least once every reporting period; and (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.	YES	 (a) The Company's Chief Executive Officer is responsible for evaluating the performance of the Company's senior executives on an annual basis. The Company's Remuneration and Nomination Committee (or, in its absence, the Board) is responsible for evaluating the remuneration of the Company's senior executives on an annual basis. A senior executive, for these purposes, means key management personnel (as defined in the Corporations Act) other than a Director. The applicable process for these evaluations can be found in the Performance Evaluation Practices Policy which is available on the Company's website. (b) The Company has completed performance evaluations in respect of the senior executives for the past financial year in accordance with the process.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value		
Recommendation 2.1 The Board of a listed entity should: (a) have a nomination committee which: 1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and 2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: 3) the charter of the committee. 4) the members of the committee; and 5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the Board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.	YES	The Company had a Remuneration and Nomination Committee for the past financial year. The Company's Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter provides for the creation of a Remuneration and Nomination Committee comprising at least three directors, a majority of whom are non-executive directors. During the year the committee comprised of three independent non-executive directors. The Chairman of the Board is appointed Chairman of the committee. Under the Board Charter, the Chairman of the Board should be non-executive and ideally independent. The members of the Remuneration and Nomination Committee, the number of times the committee met during the last financial year, and the individual attendances of the members, are disclosed in the Annual Report. The Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter is available on the Company's website.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 2.2 A listed entity should have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.	YES	Under the Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter, the Remuneration and Nomination Committee is required to review the composition of the Board and ensure that the Board has an appropriate mix of skills and experience to properly fulfil its responsibilities. The committee does this by preparing a Board skills matrix. The Board skills matrix sets out the mix of skills and diversity that the Board currently has and is looking to achieve. This matrix is reviewed at least annually. As well as general skills expected for Board membership of an ASX listed company, the matrix includes skills or professional qualifications in areas such as law, oil and gas/energy, international operations (US Gulf of Mexico in particular), capital markets, corporate finance and the ability to chair Board committees. While each of these areas is currently represented by the Board collectively, the Board has been going through a process of renewal as the US strategy has been successfully implemented. The Board is of the view that throughout the year the Board possessed an appropriate mix of skills, experience, expertise and knowledge to enable the Board to discharge its responsibilities, deliver the Company's corporate objectives and direct the Company in accordance with high standards of corporate governance. During the year Mr Ian Macliver resigned as Non-Executive Director at the Company's AGM. Mr John Jetter was nominated by the Board as Chairperson Elect. Mr Jetter assumed the role of Chairman. Mr Matthew Allen resigned from the role of Managing Director and CEO but remained as a Senior Advisor to the Company. The Company also added Mr Geoff Page, an Australian based finance professional, as a Non-Executive director. Mike Utsler was appointed CEO and Managing Director on 11 September 2020. The Board renewal process will be an ongoing focus of the Board to ensure that its composition reflects the nature of the business as it evolves from being



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 2.2 – cont.		primarily focused on exploration activities towards development and production activities.
Recommendation 2.3 A listed entity should disclose: (a) the names of the directors considered by the Board to be independent directors; (b) if a director has an interest, position or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and (c) the length of service of each Director	YES	An independent director is defined in the Recommendations as a Director that is free of any interest, position, association or relationship that might influence, or reasonably be perceived to influence, in a material respect his or her capacity to bring an independent judgment to bear on issues before the Board and to act in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders generally. The following Directors are considered independent: John Jetter – appointed as a director 10 December 2007 Paul Senycia – appointed as director 24 April 2018 Geoff Page – appointed as a director 17 July 2020 Kevin Small and Mike Utsler are not considered independent as they are, or have
		been in the last three years, employed in an executive capacity by the Company. The Board is of the view that the participation of directors in the Company's performance rights plan does not result in a loss of independence.
Recommendation 2.4 A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.	YES	The Board currently comprises a total of five directors, of whom three are considered to be independent. As such, independent directors currently comprise the majority of the Board. The Board currently considers the mix of directors appropriate after all factors are taken into consideration.
Recommendation 2.5 The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.	YES	The Chair of the Company during the past financial year and to the date of this statement was Mr John Jetter. Mr Jetter is an independent Director and was not the CEO of the entity. Mr John Jetter stood down from the role of Chair at the 2019 Annual General Meeting of shareholders. Mr Ian Boserio, who is independent and not the CEO, had been nominated by the Board as Chairperson Elect to assume the role vacated at the 2019 Annual General Meeting by Mr Jetter. Mr Boserio resigned later in the year and Mr Jetter reassumed the role of Chair.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION	
Recommendation 2.6 A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and for periodically reviewing whether there is a need for existing directors to undertake professional development to maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as a Director effectively.	YES	The Remuneration and Nominations Committee is responsible for the approval and review of induction procedures for Directors to ensure that they can effectively discharge their responsibilities. This is expanded on in the Procedures for Selection and Appointment of Directors policy. Part of the Board review process is to identify ways of assisting the Board in performing its duties. Where appropriate, directors are provided professional development opportunities. The Company provides information and briefings on specific matters that impact the Company. Site visits are also utilised to ensure directors are adequately informed and to assist in the performance of their duties.	
Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly			
Recommendation 3.1 A listed entity should articulate and disclose its values	NO	While the principles that shape our values are included in our existing Corporate Governance policies, Otto is in the process of updating its Strategic Mission, Vision and, with those updates, we will be updating our Corporate Values. These revisions will be incorporated into the Otto Energy website and within the internal governance documents during the 4th quarter, 2020.	



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 3.2 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that code.	YES	The Company's Code of Conduct is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 3.3 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a whistleblower policy; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material incidents reported under that policy.	YES	The Company's Whistleblower Policy is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 3.4 A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose an anti-bribery and corruption policy; and (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that policy.	YES	The Company's Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy is available on the Company's website.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION		
Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports				
Recommendation 4.1 The board of a listed entity should: (a) have an audit committee which: 1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and 2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board, and disclose: 3) the charter of the committee; 4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and 5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.	PARTIALLY	The Company had an Audit and Risk Management Committee during the past financial year. The Committee's Charter requires the Audit and Risk Management Committee to comprise at least two non-executive directors, the majority of whom must be independent. Previously the Board had considered that as there are only five directors in total and only three non-executives, that requiring at least two non-executive directors was appropriate. This was revised given the Company's growth and the addition of new directors. The Committee must be chaired by an independent Director who is not the Chair of the Board. The Committee is currently chaired by Mr Geoff Page. Due to the Company's size, the Company considers that two members is sufficient to carry out the duties of the Audit and Risk Management Committee. Mr Paul Senycia remains as a member of the Audit and Risk Committee. The members of the Audit and Risk Management Committee, their relevant qualification and experience, the number of times the committee met during the last financial year, and the individual attendances of the members, are disclosed in the Annual Report. The Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter is on the Company's website.		
Recommendation 4.2 The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.	YES	The Company's Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter requires the CEO and CFO (or, if none, the person(s) fulfilling those functions) to provide a written statement to the Board in respect of the Company's annual financial report in accordance with the requirements of the Corporations Act. The Company has obtained the written report for its financial statements in the past financial year.		



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 4.3 A listed entity should disclose its process to verify the integrity of any periodic corporate report it releases to the market that is not audited or reviewed by an external auditor.	YES	A periodic corporate report includes an entity's annual directors' reports, quarterly activity reports, quarterly cash flow reports and, in some cases, integrated reports (if prepared as a separate annual report) and sustainability reports. The preparation of public corporate reports is a similar methodology to the preparation of reports that are audited or reviewed by an external auditor. At all times the Company strives to satisfy itself that the report is materially accurate, balanced and provides investors with appropriate information to make informed investment decisions. The originator of the report ensures that all statements and financial information or calculations are supported by source documentation or factual evidence and have a reasonable basis. All assumptions within a document or financial model are disclosed and considered for appropriateness. Material accuracy, appropriate information and balanced reporting is achieved by layers of review undertaken within the organisation by senior managers, peer reviews, CEO review, Company Secretary review and final review and approval is undertaken by the Board. A majority of Directors' approval is required prior to the release of the document to the ASX. If there is any uncertainty regarding the materially accuracy of a corporate report, the Company will seek external advice or review of the document. Any errors or misleading information that may be published is corrected by the Company via a separate release as soon as the Company becomes aware of the error.



Principle 5: Make timely and balanced disclosure		
Recommendation 5.1 A listed entity should have and disclose a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the listing rules 3.1.	YES	The Company's Continuous Disclosure Policy is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 5.2 A listed entity should ensure that its board receives copies of all material market announcements promptly after they have been made.	YES	All Directors of the Company receive the ASX confirmation of the lodgement of an ASX Announcement by email from the ASX Market Announcement platform.
Recommendation 5.3 A listed entity that gives a new and substantive investor or analyst presentation should release a copy of the presentation materials on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation.	YES	In accordance with the Company's Continuous Disclosure policy, a copy of any presentation materials used by the Company must first be released on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation, to ensure a fully informed market.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Principle 6: Respect the rights of security holders		
Recommendation 6.1 A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.	YES	Information about the Company and its governance is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 6.2 A listed entity should have an investor relations program that facilitate effective two-way communication with investors.	YES	The Company has in place a Shareholder Communications Policy which aims to promote and facilitate effective two-way communication with investors. The Shareholder Communications Policy is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 6.3 A listed entity should disclose how it facilitates and encourage participation at meetings of security holders.	YES	Shareholders are encouraged to participate at all general meetings and AGMs of the Company. As part of the despatch of any notice of meeting to Shareholders, the Company Secretary shall send out material stating that all Shareholders are encouraged to participate at the meeting. This is covered in the Shareholder Communications Policy which is available on the Company's website.
Recommendation 6.4 A listed entity should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.	NO	Noting that the Chairperson can call a poll. The 2020 AGM will be held by a poll due to the nature of a virtual meeting.
Recommendation 6.5 A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.	YES	The Shareholder Communication Policy provides that security holders can register with the Company to receive email notifications when an announcement is made by the Company to the ASX, including the release of the Annual Report, half yearly reports and quarterly reports. Links are made available to the Company's website on which all information provided to the ASX is immediately posted. Shareholder queries can be sent via the email address provided on the Company's website. An email address is also provided should shareholders wish to email the Company's registry.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION	
Principle 7: Recognise and manage risk			
Recommendation 7.1 The board of a listed entity should: (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which: 1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and 2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: 3) the charter of the committee; 4) the members of the committee; and 5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the process it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework.	PARTIALLY	The Company had an Audit and Risk Management Committee during the past financial year. The Committee's Charter requires the Audit and Risk Management Committee to comprise at least two non-executive directors, the majority of whom must be independent. Previously the Board had considered that as there are only five directors in total and only three non-executives, that requiring at least two non-executive directors was appropriate. The Committee must be chaired by an independent Director who is not the Chair of the Board. The Committee is currently chaired by Mr Geoff Page. Due to the Company's size, the Company considers that two members is sufficient to carry out the duties of the Audit and Risk Management Committee. The members of the Audit and Risk Management Committee, their relevant qualification and experience, the number of times the committee met during the last financial year, and the individual attendances of the members, are disclosed in the Annual Report. The Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter is on the Company's website.	
RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION	
Recommendation 7.2 The board or a committee of the board should: (a) review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound and that the entity is operating with due regard to the risk appetite set by the board; and (b) disclose in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.	YES	 (a) The Risk Management Policy (Summary of Risk Management, Internal Compliance and Control), which can be found on the Company's website, sets out that the Board will undertake an annual review of the strategic and other material business risks facing the Company as part of its strategic and business planning process. (b) During the past financial year, the Board undertook a review of the risk management framework and satisfied itself that it was sound. 	



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 7.3 A listed entity should disclose: (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its governance, risk management and internal control processes.	YES	The Audit and Risk Committee Management Charter provides for the Audit and Risk Management Committee to appoint, review and assess the internal audit arrangements. It was assessed that that the Company did not require an internal audit function for the past financial year. As a minimum, the Board undertakes a review of the strategic and other material business risks facing the Company as part of its annual strategic and business planning process. The management team and reporting structures through to the Board are designed to ensure that appropriate risk management and internal control processes are considered on an ongoing basis for all aspects of the business.
Recommendation 7.4 A listed entity should disclose whether it has any material exposure to environmental and social risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.	YES	In order to mitigate any material exposure to environmental and social risks, the Board, assisted by the Audit and Risk Management Committee, has oversight of risk management. As a minimum, the Board sets its risk appetite and undertakes a review of the strategic and other material business risks facing the Company as part of its annual strategic and business planning process. During the past financial year, the Company identified and addressed the following as material risks relating to environmental and social risk: Operating Risk Sustained, unplanned interruption to production may impact Otto's financial performance and its ability to fund its forward programs. The facilities in which we currently have a non-operated working interest and third party pipelines, refineries and gas plants which are utilized for sales and transportation of hydrocarbons are subject to operating hazards associated with major accident events, cyber-attack and weather events, which can result in a loss of hydrocarbon containment, diminished production, additional costs, environmental damage and harm to people or reputation. This risk also extends to unexpected sub-surface outcomes.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 7.4 - cont.		
		Otto, through its exploration program, has been working to diversify its production base so it is not solely reliant on one asset (SM 71) should any event such as those mentioned above occur.
		Otto has insurance cover for a number of these risks where it is appropriate and commercially justifiable to do so. For example, for SM 71 Otto has insurance cover for property damage, but does not have cover for loss of profits as the cost is prohibitive.
		As Otto is non-operator, the operating risks are extended to include the performance of the operator. These risks could include inadequate resourcing or systems, misalignment of interest, inadequate capture or provision of data and information, poor financial position or unfavourable or inadequate agreement with the operator. Consequences of poor performance by an operator could extend to operational incidents, financial loss, loss of opportunity, noncompliance, legal disputes or less than optimal financial returns from the field.
		Otto seeks to manage the risks around performance of the operator by entering into ventures with operators who have demonstrated competencies and financial capacity. Through its due diligence Otto seeks to ensure that the operator's reputation is sound and that Otto's interests are in alignment before committing to participation.
		Unsuccessful Exploration and Oil and Gas Reserves Depletion Risk
		Without additions to reserves through exploration and development drilling success or acquisitions, Otto's oil and gas production, and hence revenues and cash flows, will decrease over time as production from existing fields declines naturally. The rate of decline is dependent on reservoir characteristics.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 7.4 - cont.		Exploration for and development of reserves may be unsuccessful or unprofitable due to a number of factors that are inherent in the oil and gas industry and are outside Otto's control. These include the risk that Otto will not discover commercially productive reservoirs or discovers reservoirs that do not produce sufficient revenues to return a profit. Drilling and development operations may be curtailed, delayed or cancelled as a result of other subsurface, mechanical or environmental factors or events causing significant financial losses.
		Otto seeks to mitigate the risk of unsuccessful exploration by having an exploration strategy based around a strict set of criteria including geographical restrictions, probabilities of success, partner and operator capacity and reputation (including drilling contractors) and required rates of return. Otto then seeks to ensure that it has suitably qualified and experienced staff and advisors to generate and evaluate opportunities within the set criteria. Any acquisition of reserves is subject to the same discipline.
		Where possible, Otto also seeks to reduce the likelihood or impact of such risks through commercial agreements where possible.
		Key Management Risk
		As Otto is a non-operator of its key interests, it has a small management team. Therefore the Company relies heavily on the services of its Chief Executive Officer and senior management. Having a suitably qualified and reputable operating team in place with appropriate relationships and experience in the Gulf of Mexico oil and gas business is critical to Otto's success so far and in the future. The loss of the services of members of the Houston operating team, and the Chief Executive Officer in particular, could have a negative impact on the Company's operations and relationships. Particularly in the short term until suitable replacements could be recruited. Otto does not maintain or plan to obtain any insurance against the loss of any key management personnel.
		The Board is aware of this risk and is always looking to ensure there is some level of succession planning, while managing ongoing costs.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 7.4 - cont.		
		Commodity price risk
		Otto's revenues, profitability and generation of cash flows depend significantly on crude oil and natural gas prices. Oil and natural gas prices are volatile and low prices could have a material adverse impact on profitability and cash flow. There are a number of factors that can cause fluctuations in price that are beyond the control of Otto.
		Otto monitors and analyses the oil and gas markets and seeks to reduce price risk where reasonable and practical. The Company has policies and procedures for entering into hedging contracts to mitigate against the fluctuations in oil price and exchange rates.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly		
Recommendation 8.1 The board of a listed entity should: (a) have a remuneration committee which: 1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and 2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: 3) the charter of the committee; 4) the members of the committee; and 5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.	YES	The Company had a Remuneration and Nomination Committee for the past financial year. The Company's Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter provides for the creation of a Remuneration and Nomination Committee comprising at least three directors, a majority of whom are non-executive directors. During the year the committee comprised of three independent Non-Executive directors. Under the Committee Charter, the Chairman of the Board will be appointed Chairman of the Committee. The Chairman of the Committee (and Board) is independent. The members of the Remuneration and Nomination Committee, the number of times the committee met during the last financial year, and the individual attendances of the members, are disclosed in the Annual Report. The Remuneration and Nomination Committee Charter is available on the Company's website.



RECOMMENDATIONS (4 TH EDITION)	COMPLIANT	EXPLANATION
Recommendation 8.2 A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive Directors and other senior executives.	YES	The Company's policies and practices regarding the remuneration of Directors and senior executives is disclosed in the remuneration report contained in the Company's Annual Report.
Recommendation 8.3 A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should: (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.	YES	The Company had an equity-based remuneration scheme during the past financial year. The Company does not permit participants to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme.